

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,459	03/25/2004	Ivan Faul	1353-006	4841
61275 7500 0NIL2010 The Marbury Law Group, PLLC 11800 Sunrise Valley Drive Suite 1000 Reston, VA 20191			EXAMINER	
			FERNANDEZ, KATHERINE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/808,459	FAUL, IVAN
Examiner instance interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KATHERINE L. FERNANDEZ	3768
All Participants:	Status of Application: Am	<u>endment</u>
(1) <u>KATHERINE L. FERNANDEZ</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Hansen.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 March 2010	Time: Afternoon	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1,5,11		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Eric F Winakur/		
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/808,459

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to place the application in condition for allowance, Examiner suggested several amendments to the claims. Examiner discussed changing the phrase "operatively associated" in line 26 of claim 1 to "attached" or "coupled". Applicant agreed to using the term 'coupled". Examiner also suggested that the relationship between the disposable support element and the wedge shaped member be made clear in the claim and suggested claim 1, line 26 be amended to include the phrase—wherein said emitters and said disposable support elements are coupled with different surfaces of wedge shaped member — or similar language. Applicant agreed to amend claim 1 to make clear the relationship between the disposable support element and wedge members and further suggested that claim 1 be amended to include the wedge shaped member limitations earlier in the claim where the disposable support element is first introduced in the claim. Applicant agreed to submit a supplemental amendment with the suggested changes. Examiner also discussed canceling claim 5 and amending claim 11 to be dependent on claim 1, which Applicant agreed to.